

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/647,874		08/25/2003	Vernon M. Williams	*2209-4209.2US (99-0174.0		
24247	7590	06/30/2006		EXAM		
TRASK BRITT				ANDUJAR, I	ANDUJAR, LEONARDO	
P.O. BOX 2 SALT LAK		UT 84110		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
J. 13 1 J. 11	,			2826		
				DATE MAILED: 06/30/200	DATE MAILED: 06/30/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/647,874	WILLIAMS ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Leonardo Andújar	2826	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>08 June 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the followances the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliantime periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aft otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	fidavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 CF	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) \square The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire			
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		E FIRST REPLY WAS FI	ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of example of the conder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the let forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	dension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig trithan three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to d within the time period set forth in 3	o avoid dismissal of the 37 CFR 41.37(a).	e appeal. Since
3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below).	onsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying t	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		jected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.			(DTOL 204)
1. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.15. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		mpliant Amendment ((PTUL-324).
5. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).			
For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: / Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		ill be entered and an e	explanation of
B. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b	ut before or on the date of filing a N	lotice of Appeal will no	ot be entered
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence is	necessary and
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa	overcome all rejections under appe	eal and/or appellant fai	ils to provide a

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

13. Other: _____.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Leonardo Andrijai Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2826 Continuation of 3. NOTE: Claim 1 now recites that the heat transfer element comprises a unitized structure. This new limitation that raises new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.